## University of Science and Arts of Oklahoma Teacher Education 2024 EPP Annual Accreditation Report

## CAEP Accountability Measures (for CHEA Requirements) [2022-2023 Academic Year]

• Measure 2 (Initial and Advanced): Satisfaction of employers and stakeholder involvement. (R4.2|R5.3| RA4.1)

Note - The EPP normally utilizes data from Surveys of Administrators/Mentors of First Year Teachers (FYT) who are recent Program Completers from USAO's EPP. As also noted in Measure 1, no FYT information was shared from OSDE to OEQA for distribution and collection of Surveys for FYT 2022-2023. Survey data from 2021-2022 is the most recent data received for the FYT Surveys, and these results are included below. The data sharing agreement has been recently restored between the OSDE and OEQA for future FYT Survey data to be collected and analyzed. The EPP should receive the FYT Survey data for 2023-2024 and be able to include the results in the 2025 CAEP Annual Accreditation Report.

<u>2021 – 2022 Surveys of Administrator/Mentor of FYT – USAO Program Completers</u> In addition to data provided for CAEP Accountability Measure 1, the First Year Teacher (FYT) Surveys administered by the Office of Educational Quality and Accountability (OEQA) to Administrators/Mentors of First Year Teachers/USAO EPP Program Completers also provide evidence of satisfaction of employers and stakeholder involvement through feedback. The link below provides a pdf report of OEQA's results for 2021-2022 First Year Teacher Administrator/Mentor Surveys provided for USAO.



\*Note – It is essential to point out that only 2 of the First Year Teachers reviewed in this pdf report are Verified USAO EPP Program Completers, and those Verified EPP Program Completers received all positive ratings of either "Strongly Agree" or "Agree" on all of the Admin/Mentor FYT Survey questions. This pdf survey report includes individuals who are either not our EPP graduates, are non-completers, are individuals who graduated or completed over a decade ago, or are Emergency Certified or Alternatively Certified and not our EPP Program Completers. For a more accurate measure of how satisfied employers are of our actual Verified EPP Program Completers, the EPP notes the all positive ratings on all questions for those 2 Verified Program Completers and also utilizes data from the specific survey question noted below.

Note – Since Surveys of Administrators/Mentors of FYT 2022-2023 were not shared, the EPP will update the data related to the Survey Question 8 below for the 2023-2024 Survey results for reporting in the 2025 Annual Accreditation Report. For now, the EPP is using the most recent survey data available and presenting the results of Question 8 (see chart below) from the 2021-2022 Administrator/Mentor FYT Surveys for USAO EPP Verified Program Completers to consider recommendations for strengthening our programs, enhancing the effectiveness of our program completers, and increasing the satisfaction of employers and stakeholders.

Admin/Mentor Survey Question 8 - Considering [First Year Teacher's Name]'s preparation in light of the needs of your school, what are your recommendations for strengthening the teacher's preparation?

Selected Area for Recommended	Number of Admin/Mentors selecting
Strengthening from	this area for recommended
Admin/Mentor FYT Surveys for	strengthening of preparation
Verified EPP Program Completers	(out of 2 total FYT Surveys for
	Verified EPP Program Completers)
Classroom Management	2
Differentiated Instruction	2
Instructional Strategies	1
Assessment	1

The EPP continues to seek enhancements for our EDUC 4442 Classroom Management and Evaluation Theory course in order to strengthen preparation for classroom management and assessment. The EPP is still hoping to gain approval for an increase in credit hours for this course to allow for increased time devoted to these areas. Implementation of the Praxis Performance Assessment for Teachers (PPAT) also continues to contribute to the strengthening of preparation in all three of these areas selected as recommendations for improvement. The PPAT has tasks that directly relate to planning for, performance of, and reflection on all of these areas: Classroom Management, Differentiated Instruction, Instructional Strategies, and Assessment. Our EPP utilizes preparations for the PPAT in connection with enhanced preparation for these areas in our graduates' future teaching.

## <u>Teacher Leader Effectiveness (TLE) Evaluations – administered by Administrators/Employers of</u> <u>USAO's EPP Program Completers</u>

Teacher Leader Effectiveness (TLE) evaluation scores documented for Measure 1 for teaching effectiveness of our program completers for P-12 student learning and development also show evidence of Measure 2 on Satisfaction of Employers and Stakeholder Involvement. TLE evaluations are approved by the Oklahoma State Department of Education (OSDE) and the Office of Educational Quality and Accountability (OEQA). The OSDE provides the TLE data to OEQA and OEQA shares the data with the EPP.

The TLE Tulsa Model Rubric uses the following rating scale:

1 =ineffective, 2 =needs improvement, 3 =effective, 4 =highly effective, and 5 =superior

The TLE Tulsa Model measures five domains: Classroom Management, Instructional Effectiveness, Professional Growth and Improvement, Interpersonal Skills, and Leadership.

The data chart below shows the Overall Mean Scores for recent EPP Completers evaluated through the TLE Tulsa Model Evaluation. Some Completers were evaluated only once, while others were evaluated up to four times depending on the evaluation requirements of the school district and whether the Completer was in their first year of teaching in that school district. N indicates the total number of evaluations. For a breakdown of scores in each domain, please refer to the full data chart presented in Measure 1.

Overall Teacher Leader Effectiveness (TLE) Evaluation Scores by Administrators/Employers of USAO EPP Program Completers Teaching during AY 2022-2023

N = 49

Mean Score = 3.45

Minimum Score = 2.90

Maximum Score = 4.05

The 2022-2023 TLE Tulsa Model Evaluation Scores provide evidence of Employer Satisfaction with EPP Completers overall. Only three Completers had a score below 3.00/effective in the overall TLE evaluation scores with scores of 2.90, 2.90, and 2.95. All other Completers had scores of 3.00/effective or higher with a maximum overall score of 4.05. Note – scores of 5/superior are not generally given unless the Completer being evaluated is definitively considered superior in that evaluation domain area.

## Additional Stakeholder Involvement

USAO's EPP also maintains stakeholder involvement through other ongoing partnership efforts. Although there are many more examples, some ongoing highlighted stakeholder involvement efforts are listed below:

**USAO's EPP Teacher Education Committee (TEC)** – members include PK-12 administrators, teachers, current teacher candidates from different certification areas, EPP faculty members.

**USAO's EPP and Chickasha Public Schools Partnership Enhancement Meetings** – These focused meetings began in 2022-2023 Academic Year. USAO's Dean of the School of Education and Speech-Language Pathology/Director of Teacher Education meets regularly with members of CPS administration and occasionally other EPP and/or CPS faculty.

**Chickasha Public Schools Comprehensive District Academic Plan Meetings** – USAO's Dean of the School of Education and Speech-Language Pathology/Director of Teacher Education is currently serving as a representative at these meetings.

**Grady County Superintendents' Meetings** – USAO hosts one meeting annually and sends a representative when available to attend off-campus meetings.

Oklahoma State Regents of Higher Education (OSRHE) Teacher Education Micro-Credential Summit, UpSkill OK, & GEER Funding Meetings – USAO's Dean of the School of Education and Speech-Language Pathology/Director of Teacher Education and EPP faculty members attend various Teacher Education Micro-Credential meetings and events.

**Oklahoma Association of Colleges of Teacher Education (OACTE)** – USAO's Dean of the School of Education and Speech-Language Pathology/Director of Teacher Education attends these monthly meetings held with other Oklahoma EPP Deans/Directors of TE and representatives from various state agency partners including:

Oklahoma Regents for Higher Education (OSRHE) Oklahoma Office of Educational Quality and Accountability (OEQA) Oklahoma State Department of Education (OSDE) Oklahoma Education Association (OEA) Professional Oklahoma Educators (POE) Cooperative Council for Oklahoma School Administration (CCOSA) Oklahoma School Board Association (OSBA) Oklahoma Association of School Personnel Administrators (OASPA) Oklahoma Parent Teacher Association (OKPTA)